

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,650	-	02/14/2002	Nileshkumar J. Parekh	020129	5504	
23696	7590	04/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUALCON	•			JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE		
5775 MORE SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2616		
			•	DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14.8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,650	PAREKH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Melanie Jagannathan	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this communication) ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T	3 <i>February 2006</i> . This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matte		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyangetion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	i i
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	9
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner has considered Amendment after Non-Final mailed 2/23/2006.

Claims 1 and 14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Nevo et al. US 6,320,873 in view of Forslow US 6,608,832.

The claimed PDSN element communicating with CDMA RAN using CDMA protocol is disclosed by Nevo et al. by PDN (Figure 1, element 48) communicating with CDMA network by way of connection to GPRS (element 50). The claimed second element communicating with a GSM core infrastructure using GSM protocol, the

Art Unit: 2616

elements communicating with each other, whereby use of the CDMA RAN with the GSM core infrastructure is facilitated is disclosed by Nevo et al. by SGSN (element 52).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to implement the PDN and GPRS node, the two elements communicating with GSM and CDMA networks, in one module such as a switch. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do so to provide a GSM/CDMA system to enable introduction of CDMA service in conjunction with GSM network without requiring major changes to existing infrastructure. See column 4, lines 54-65.

However, Nevo does not disclose the claimed terminating point-to-point protocol framing from the CDMA and sending IP to GSM core infrastructure in response to selection of IP by user of a CDMA mobile station and initiating packet data protocol context activation including specifying at least one access point name. Forslow discloses circuit-switched bearer employing IP/PPP protocol, packet-switched bearer employing GPRS tunneling developed to carry IP packets directly thereby avoiding PPP and a selection of a particular type of bearer. See column 9, lines 11-37 and column 12. Forslow discloses an HLR (Figure 2, element 42) storing routing information and mapping to one or more packet data protocol addresses as well as mapping each PDP address to one or more GGSNs. See column 3, lines 48-51 and column 9, lines 11-37. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nevo to include packet data protocol context activation of Forslow. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this for improved routing between the different networks.

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues neither Nevo nor Forslow teaches the claimed selection of IP by user of a CDMA mobile station communicating with the CDMA RAN.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner relied on reference Forslow to teach claimed limitation. Forslow discloses mobile station initiates a PDP context activation to register with the mobile communication system and begin a data session. An IP bearer between the mobile host and GGSN is established in the PDP context activation initiated by mobile host (claimed selection of IP by user). See column 9, lines 11-14, 21-24, 34-38.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2616

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Jagannathan whose telephone number is 571-272-3163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ (%) 4/22/2006

> CHI PITAMI ENVISORY PATENT EXAMI